

1 Preliminaries

In this section, we review some important concepts related to *convex optimization*.

1.1 Convex Program

Before stating the definition of convex program, we need the following definitions.

Definition 1 (Convex Set) A set S is convex, if

$$\forall x, y \in S, \theta \in [0, 1], \theta x + (1 - \theta)y \in S$$

Definition 2 (Convex Function) A function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex (where $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is the domain of this function), if D is convex and

$$\forall x, y \in D, \theta \in [0, 1], f(\theta x + (1 - \theta)y) \leq \theta f(x) + (1 - \theta)f(y)$$

Moreover, if $-f$ is convex, f is concave.

Definition 3 (Convex Program) An optimization problem on the form

$$\begin{aligned} \inf \quad & f(x) \\ \text{subj.t.} \quad & g_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

is convex if the functions $f, g_1, \dots, g_m$ are convex.

Alternatively, the following optimization problem is convex, if $f_0, \dots, f_m$ are convex and $h_1, \dots, h_k$ are affine.

$$\begin{aligned} \inf \quad & f_0(x) \\ \text{subj.t.} \quad & f_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \\ & h_j(x) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, k \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

To introduce two important examples, we need the following notion.

Definition 4 (Positive Semidefinite)¹ An n by n matrix P is positive semidefinite, denoted by $P \succeq 0$, if it is symmetric ($P \in S^n$) and

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x^T P x \geq 0$$

Notice that $P \succeq P^0$ is equivalent to $P - P^0 \succeq 0$.

¹There are many important equivalent definitions for this notion. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Positive-definite_matrix#Characterizations

Example 5 (Quadratic Program) Given $P \succeq 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \frac{1}{2}x^T Px + q^T x + r \\ \text{subj.t.} \quad & Gx \preceq h \\ & Ax = b \end{aligned}$$

Example 6 (Semidefinite Program(SDP))² Given $G, F_1, \dots, F_n \in S^k$.

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & c^T x \\ \text{subj.t.} \quad & x_1 F_1 + \dots + x_n F_n + G \preceq 0 \\ & Ax = b \end{aligned}$$

1.2 Duality

Definition 7 (Lagrangian) The Lagrangian according to convex program (1) is

$$L(x, \lambda, \nu) = f_0(x) + \sum_i \lambda_i f_i(x) + \sum_j \nu_j h_j(x)$$

Definition 8 (Lagrange Dual) The Lagrange dual problem of the primal (1) is

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & g(\lambda, \nu) \\ \text{subj.t.} \quad & \lambda \succeq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $g(\lambda, \nu)$ is the Lagrange dual function defined as follows,

$$g(\lambda, \nu) = \inf_{x \in D} L(x, \lambda, \nu), \quad D = \bigcap \text{dom } f_i \bigcap \text{dom } h_j$$

Suppose the OPTs of the primal and the dual are p^* and d^* respectively, the following property called *weak duality* always holds.

$$d^* \leq p^*$$

Meanwhile, the following *strong duality* does not hold for arbitrary convex programs.

$$d^* = p^*$$

An important necessary condition of strong duality is provided as follows.

Definition 9 (Slater's Condition[2]) Suppose that f_{i_1} 's are affine functions and f_{i_2} 's are convex functions, then Slater's condition is

$$\exists x \in \text{relint} D, \text{ s.t. } f_{i_1}(x) = 0, f_{i_2}(x) < 0, Ax = b$$

Theorem 10 [2] Slater's condition implies strong duality.

²For Goemans-Williamson MAX-CUT approximation algorithm, the famous application of SDP, please see [1].

1.3 Unconstraint Convex Programs

For unconstraint convex programs, we have the following observation, which actually applies to all nonlinear programs.

Lemma 11 (Optimality Condition) *The following two statements apply to all nonlinear programs.*

1. x_0 is a minimum point $\Rightarrow \nabla f(x_0) = 0$.
2. If $f \in C^2$, then

$$\nabla f(x_0) = 0, \quad \nabla^2 f(x_0) \succ 0 \Rightarrow x_0 \text{ is a minimum point}$$

Now we give a brief proof to the second statement.

Proof: Since $f \in C^2$ and $\nabla f(x_0) = 0$, there exists $r > 0$ such that $\forall x \in B(x_0, r)$, $\nabla^2 f(x) \succ 0$.
Using Taylor expansion with Lagrange remainder at any $x \in B(x_0, r)$,

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + (x - x_0)^T \nabla f(x_0) + \frac{1}{2}(x - x_0)^T \nabla^2 f(\xi_L)(x - x_0) \quad f(x_0)$$

where ξ_L is some point between x and x_0 . □

1.4 Strongly Convex Function

Finally, we introduce the last notion in this section, which is very important for the upcoming sections.

Definition 12 (Strongly Convex Function) ³ A function f is strongly convex with parameter $m > 0$, if for all x, y in its domain, and $\theta \in [0, 1]$.

$$f(\theta x + (1 - \theta)y) \leq \theta f(x) + (1 - \theta)f(y) - \frac{1}{2}m\theta(1 - \theta)\|x - y\|_2^2$$

Specially, for twice continuously differentiable function f , it is strongly convex with parameter m , if and only if for all x in its domain, $\nabla^2 f(x) \succ mI$.

2 Gradient Descent

In this section, we briefly introduce the gradient descent method which is widely used to find the nearest local minimum of a differentiable function. This method basically starts at a given point x_0 , and repeats the following iteration until some terminal condition is satisfied.

$$x_{i+1} = x_i + t\Delta x = x_i - t \nabla f(x_i)$$

where t is the step size.

Two typical ways to decide the step size are listed here.

³See http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Convex_function#Strongly_convex_functions.

1. Exact line search. Choose t to be the optimal value that minimizes $f(x_{i+1})$, i.e.,

$$t = \arg \min_{s > 0} f(x_i + s\Delta x)$$

2. Backtrack line search, with parameters $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$.

This method aims to find a proper t such that the point $(x_{i+1}, f(x_{i+1}))$ is below the line $f(x_i) + \alpha t \nabla f(x_i)$. It works by first guessing the value of t , and if the t does not work, shrink it by factor β each time until the proper value is found.

2.1 Condition Number

Condition number, denoted by κ , is an important notion required for further discussion on convergence rate of gradient descent. The condition number of a matrix A is

$$\kappa(A) = \frac{\lambda_{\max}(A)}{\lambda_{\min}(A)}$$

Similarly, the condition number of a set C is

$$\kappa(C) = \left(\frac{\max \text{ width}}{\min \text{ width}} \right)^2 = \frac{\sup_{\|q\|_2=1} \left(\sup_{z \in C} q^T z \quad \inf_{z \in C} q^T z \right)^2}{\inf_{\|q\|_2=1} \left(\sup_{z \in C} q^T z \quad \inf_{z \in C} q^T z \right)^2}$$

Consider the following example.

Example 13 (Conditional Number of an Ellipsoid) Suppose we have the following ellipsoid defined by a matrix $A \succ 0$.

$$E = \{x \mid (x - x_0)^T A^{-1} (x - x_0) \leq 1\}$$

Then

$$\kappa(E) = \frac{\sup_{\|q\|_2=1} \mathbf{k} A^{1/2} q \mathbf{k}^2}{\inf_{\|q\|_2=1} \mathbf{k} A^{1/2} q \mathbf{k}^2} = \frac{\lambda_{\max}(A)}{\lambda_{\min}(A)} = \kappa(A)$$

Since conditional on $\|q\|_2 = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sup_{z \in E} q^T z \quad \inf_{z \in E} q^T z \right)^2 &= 4 \sup_{z \in E} (q^T (z - x_0))^2 \\ &= 4 \sup_{z \in E} \mathbf{k} z - x_0 \mathbf{k}_2^2 \\ &= 4 \sup \{ \mathbf{k} y \mathbf{k}_2^2 \mid y^T A^{-1} y \leq 1 \} \\ &= \frac{4}{\lambda_{\min}(A^{-1})} \\ &= 4 \lambda_{\max}(A) \end{aligned}$$

2.2 Convergence Rate

Theorem 14 (Convergence Rate) *Gradient descent method with exact line search returns x_k such that $f(x_k) - p \leq \epsilon$ after k iterations. The convergence rate k is bounded as*

$$k = O\left(\frac{\log(f(x_0) - p)/\epsilon}{m/M}\right),$$

where x_0 is the start point, p is the OPT of the unconstraint convex program, and m/M is the condition number.

Moreover, the objective function f is strongly convex in its domain with parameter m , and $M > 0$ is some constant such that $\mathbf{r}^2 f(x) \leq MI$ for all x in the sublevel set $C_{f(x_0)}$.

Proof: Firstly, by applying Taylor expansion with Lagrange remainder at x and the strongly convexity of f , we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(y) &= f(x) + (y - x)^T \mathbf{r} f(x) + \frac{1}{2}(y - x)^T \mathbf{r}^2 f(\xi)(y - x) \\ &= f(x) + (y - x)^T \mathbf{r} f(x) + \frac{m}{2}\mathbf{k}y - x\mathbf{k}_2^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Let x_0 be the point such that $\mathbf{r} f(x_0) = 0$, and we get

$$f(y) = f(x_0) + \frac{m}{2}\mathbf{k}y - x_0\mathbf{k}_2^2,$$

which implies that when $\mathbf{k}y - x_0\mathbf{k}$ is upper bounded by a finite value. In other words, the sublevel set $C_{f(x_0)}$ is bounded and hence $M > 0$ is also guaranteed to be finite.

By choosing y to be the minimizer of (3), i.e., $y = x - \frac{1}{m} \mathbf{r} f(x)$, we have

$$f(y) = f(x) + (y - x)^T \mathbf{r} f(x) + \frac{m}{2}\mathbf{k}y - x\mathbf{k}_2^2 = f(x) - \frac{1}{2m}\mathbf{k} \mathbf{r} f(x)\mathbf{k}_2^2 \quad (4)$$

Letting $y = x_0$, the inequality above implies that the smaller $\mathbf{k} \mathbf{r} f(x)\mathbf{k}_2$ is, the closer to optimal $f(x)$ is.

Now we come back to the iteration of the method. By the definition of exact line search,

$$f(x_{i+1}) = f(x_i + t_i \mathbf{r} f(x_i)) = f(x_i - \mathbf{r} f(x_i)/M) = f(x_i) - \frac{1}{2M}\mathbf{k} \mathbf{r} f(x_i)\mathbf{k}_2^2$$

The last inequality is based on the following, which can be proved similarly with (3).

$$f(y) = f(x) + (y - x)^T \mathbf{r} f(x) + \frac{M}{2}\mathbf{k}y - x\mathbf{k}_2^2$$

Combining with (4),

$$\mathbf{k} \mathbf{r} f(x_i)\mathbf{k}_2^2 = 2m(f(x_i) - p) = f(x_{i+1}) - p = \left(1 - \frac{m}{M}\right)(f(x_i) - p)$$

Therefore

$$f(x_k) - p \leq \left(1 - \frac{m}{M}\right)^k (f(x_0) - p)$$

To guarantee that $f(x_k) - p \leq \epsilon$, we need the number of iterations to be

$$k = O\left(\frac{\log \frac{\epsilon}{f(x_0) - p}}{\log\left(1 - \frac{m}{M}\right)}\right) = O\left(\frac{\log(f(x_0) - p)/\epsilon}{m/M}\right),$$

Notice that we use the approximation that $\log(1 - z) \approx -z$ when $|z|$ is small. $\square$

2.3 Steepest Descent

Steepest descent is a more general descent method. Instead of simply choosing Δx to be $-\mathbf{r} f(x)$, steepest descent chooses Δx w.r.t. some norm $\|\cdot\|$, i.e.,

for normalized case,

$$\Delta x_{nsd} = \arg \min_{\|v\|=1} v^T \mathbf{r} f(x),$$

and for unnormalized case.

$$\Delta x_{sd} = -\|\mathbf{r} f(x)\|^{-1} \Delta x_{nsd}.$$

Recall the $\|\cdot\|$ is the dual norm of $\|\cdot\|$,

$$\|z\| = \sup_{\|w\|=1} z^T w$$

Example 15 (Quadratic Norm) Consider quadratic norm defined by a positive definite matrix P .

$$\|z\|_P = (z^T P z)^{1/2} = \|P^{1/2} z\|_2,$$

and

$$\|z\| = \|P^{-1/2} z\|_2.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta x_{sd} &= -\|\mathbf{r} f(x)\|_{P^{-1}}^{-1} \arg \min_{\|v\|_{P^{-1}}=1} v^T \mathbf{r} f(x) \\ &= -\left(\mathbf{r} f(x)^T P^{-1} \mathbf{r} f(x)\right)^{1/2} \arg \max_{\|v\|_{P^{-1}}=1} v^T \mathbf{r} f(x) \\ &= -\left(\mathbf{r} f(x)^T P^{-1} \mathbf{r} f(x)\right)^{1/2} \frac{P^{-1} \mathbf{r} f(x)}{\left(\mathbf{r} f(x)^T P^{-1} \mathbf{r} f(x)\right)^{1/2}} \\ &= -P^{-1} \mathbf{r} f(x) \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $v^T \mathbf{r} f(x) = \|\mathbf{r} f(x)\|_{P^{-1}}$ and $\|v\|_{P^{-1}} = 1$.

References

- [1] Goemans, Michel X., and David P. Williamson. “Improved approximation algorithms for maximum cut and satisfiability problems using semidefinite programming.” *Journal of the ACM (JACM)* 42.6 (1995): 1115-1145.
- [2] Boyd, Stephen P., and Lieven Vandenberghe. *Convex optimization*. Cambridge university press, 2004.